

ELOCITY SOFTWARE

Case Study 2

Linux Servers Disconnecting From the Network

Copyright © 2020 Velocity Software, Inc. All Rights Reserved. Other products and company names mentioned herein may be trademarks of their respective owners.



Case Study Summary

Velocity Software solves performance problems.

- As a valued customer, we want to pass this knowledge on to you.
- The following is a case study of a solved real-life performance issue.
- This case study will show:
 - The problem as reported by users
 - The problem observations
 - What was found in the Velocity Software data
 - What was suggested to the customer
 - If provided, follow up from the customer





The Problem

The Problem:

Multiple Oracle servers were disconnecting from the network.

Problem Observations:

- On several days around the same time, multiple Oracle servers were disconnecting from the network/VSWITCH
- There was an error message The QETH device driver failed to recover an error on the device





What the Data Showed (Configuration data)

ESAUSRC – User Configuration showed:

 Multiple Linux servers with two vCPUs that are not needed

| | | | | | < | S | HARE | | > | < | CP |
|--------|---------|------|---|------|-----|-----|------|------|-----|-----|----|
| | | - | <cp pool:<="" th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></cp> | | | | | | | | |
| JserID | ClassID | Name | PoolName | Туре | Rel | Abs | Тур | Shre | -it | Def | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LaaaP | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 40 | | | | | 1 | 1 |
| LbbbT | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 80 | | | | | 2 | 2 |
| LeceT | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 50 | | | • | • | 1 | 1 |
| LdddT | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 70 | | | | | 1 | 1 |
| LeeeT | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 30 | | | | | 1 | 1 |
| LfffT | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 25 | | | | | 1 | 1 |
| LgggT | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 50 | | | | | 1 | 1 |
| LhhhT | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 100 | | | | | 1 | 1 |
| LjjjC | TheUsrs | | | CP | 80 | | | | | 1 | 1 |
| LkkkT | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 10 | | | | | 1 | 1 |
| LmmmP | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 30 | | | | | 2 | 2 |
| LnnnT | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 20 | | | • | • | 1 | 1 |
| LpppT | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 30 | | | | | 1 | 1 |
| TpppJ | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 20 | | | | | 1 | 1 |
| LrrrT | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 35 | | | | | 1 | 1 |
| LsssT | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 15 | | | • | • | 1 | 1 |
| LtttT | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 25 | | | | | 1 | 1 |
| LuuuP | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 100 | | | • | | 2 | 2 |
| LvvvC | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 80 | • | | | • | 1 | 1 |
| LwwwC | TheUsrs | • | • | IFL | • | 25 | Abs | 25.0 | Sft | 2 | 2 |
| LxxxC | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 100 | | | | | 2 | 2 |
| LyyyT | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 50 | | | • | | 1 | 1 |
| LzzzC | TheUsrs | | • | IFL | 100 | | | • | | 2 | 2 |
| L111C | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 30 | | | • | • | 1 | 1 |
| L222T | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 80 | | | | | 2 | 2 |
| L333T | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 80 | | | | | 2 | 2 |
| L444T | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 50 | | | • | • | 1 | 1 |
| L555P | TheUsrs | | | IFL | 200 | | | | | 2 | 2 |

4





ESAOPER – Operator System Log showed:

• Virtual switch failures

Report: ESAOPER Operator/System Log Veloc Monitor initialized: 07/07/22 at 00:00:01 on 3906 serial 03FCD8 First 01:15:17 QDIO Device Deactivate:xx2C 01:15:17 QDIO Device Deactivate:xx6C 01:16:00 L111T vcpu stopped: 0 01:16:00 L111T : VM VCPU: 00 is in stop state 01:17:45 Virtual Switch Failure: VSW3 owned by: VSW3 DTCVSW1 01:17:45 Address: xxx6 01:17:45 ODIO Device Deactivate:xxx6 01:17:45 DETACH Device B0B6 FROM System 01:18:02 Virtual Switch Failure: VSW4 owned by: VSW4 DTCVSW1 01:18:02 Address: xxx0 01:18:02 DETACH Device B0B7 FROM System 01:18:23 DETACH Device B0B8 FROM System 01:17:00 L228T vcpu started: 01:18:52 QDIO Device activated:xx2C 01:20:10 ODIO Device activated:xx6C 01:17:00 VSIMAP1206 At 01:17:00, 122-second interval exceeds active interval parameter value of 60. 01:17:00 01:19:00 VSIMAP1206 At 01:19:00, 122-second interval exceeds active 01:19:00 interval parameter value of 60. 01:22:05 ODIO Device activated:xxx6 01:22:05 Virtual Switch Recovery: VSW3 owned by: VSW3 DTCVSW1





ESALPARS – Logical Partition Analysis Summary showed:

• The %Assigned Total at the time of the issue was 100% or close to 100%

| ime (| CPUs | Dispatch Slice | | - | Virt | CPU | <%Assi | .gned> | <-Assig <lpa Weight</lpa | AR> | <vcpi< th=""><th>U Pct></th><th>Wait</th><th></th><th></th><th>On/</th><th>R Capping> Capping Value</th><th>Entit CPU (</th></vcpi<> | U Pct> | Wait | | | On/ | R Capping> Capping Value | Entit CPU (|
|---------|------|-------------------|---------|----|------|-----|--------|--------|------------------------------------|------|--|--------|------|---|---|-----|--------------------------------|----------------|
| 7/07/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1:13:00 | 11 | Dynamic | Totals: | 00 | 16 | IFL | 398.7 | 0.3 | 1135 | 100 | | | | | | | | |
| | | Dynamic | XXX4 | 03 | | IFL | 178.2 | 0.0 | | | 22.2 | 88.9 | No | 0 | 2 | No | | 1.78 |
| 1:14:00 | 11 | Dynamic | | 00 | | IFL | 398.0 | 0.3 | 1135 | | | | | - | - | | | |
| | | -1 | XXX4 | 03 | | IFL | 177.4 | 0.0 | | | 22.2 | 88.9 | No | 0 | 2 | No | | 1.78 |
| 1:15:00 | 11 | Dynamic | Totals: | 00 | 16 | IFL | 391.5 | 1.1 | 1135 | 100 | | | | | | | | |
| | | - | XXX4 | 03 | 2 | IFL | 179.9 | 0.1 | 60 | 44.4 | 22.2 | 88.9 | No | 0 | 2 | No | | 1.78 |
| 1:16:00 | 11 | Dynamic | Totals: | 00 | 16 | IFL | 399.3 | 0.2 | 1135 | 100 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | XXX4 | 03 | 2 | IFL | 176.7 | 0.0 | 60 | 44.4 | 22.2 | 88.9 | No | 0 | 2 | No | | 1.78 |
| 1:17:00 | 11 | Dynamic | | 00 | | IFL | 399.6 | 0.2 | 1135 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | XXX4 | 03 | | IFL | 176.5 | 0.0 | | | 22.2 | 88.9 | No | 0 | 2 | No | • | 1.78 |
| 1:19:00 | 11 | Dynamic | | 00 | | IFL | 399.9 | 0.1 | 1135 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | _ | XXX4 | 03 | | IFL | 176.4 | 0.0 | | | 22.2 | 88.9 | No | 0 | 2 | No | • | 1.78 |
| 1:21:00 | 11 | Dynamic | | 00 | | IFL | 400.0 | 0.1 | 1135 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | XXX4 | 03 | _ | IFL | 176.2 | 0.0 | | | 22.2 | 88.9 | No | 0 | 2 | No | • | 1.78 |
| 1:22:00 | 11 | Dynamic | | 00 | | IFL | 400.0 | 0.1 | 1135 | | | | 17- | | 2 | 17- | | 1 70 |
| | | ****** | XXX4 | 03 | _ | IFL | 175.8 | 0.0 | ****** | | 22.2 | 88.9 | No | 0 | 2 | No | | 1.78 |





ESALPARS – Logical Partition Analysis Summary – Cont.:

- There are four total IFL processors shared over the 16 virtual processors (from the previous page)
- During the time of the issue, the 4 total IFL Processor busy was close to 100%

```
Totals by Processor type:
<----CPU----> <-Shared Processor busy->
Type Count Ded shared Total Logical Ovhd Mgmt
CP.
                   3 245.8
        3 0
                               241.3
                                           2.7
                                      1.7
        4 0
IFL
                   4 395.0
                               393.4
                                      0.8
                                           0.8
        3 3
TCF
                   0
                        0.0
                                   Ο.
                                           0.0
                                        0.
           0
                   1
ZTTP.
        1
                       11.9
                                11.5
                                      0.1
                                           0.3
```





ESAXACT – Transaction Delay Analysis showed:

• Multiple servers were waiting on CPU

| Report: Monitor | | | | | | | | | | 6 sei | rial | | | | | | | ware C analyz |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|--|-------|------|------|-------|------------------|
| UserID /Class | <-Samp Total | | | | | | | | D- | T- | | Tst | <as< th=""><th>ynch)</th><th>></th><th></th><th>Lim</th><th>Pct Elig</th></as<> | ynch) | > | | Lim | Pct Elig |
| ****** | ****** | ***** | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | **Tot | tals | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | ***** |
| System: | 3306 | 2340 | 7.7 | 1.1 | 34 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 57 | 0.1 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hi-Freq: | | 145K | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | 0.0 | | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| ****** | ******* | ***** | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | **Us(| er Su | ummaı | cy**: | **** | **** | **** | ***** | ***** |
| LaaaT | 3598 | 3598 | | 6.9 | 40 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LbbbT | 3598 | 3598 | 41 | 4.5 | 36 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| LCCCT | 7196 | 7196 | 15 | 2.9 | 44 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | _ | 0 | | 0.1 | | - | 0.0 | 0 | 0 |
| LdddT | 3598 | 3598 | 20 | 1.9 | 43 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | 0.0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LeeeT | 3598 | 3598 | | 0.1 | 32 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | | 0.0 | | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LfffC | 7196 | 7196 | 8.3 | 0.7 | 21 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LgggT | 3598 | 3588 | | 2.1 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.6 | _ | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 |
| LhhhT | 7196 | 7196 | | | 42 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 |
| LjjjC | 3598 | 3598 | | 1.1 | 36 | - | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | | 0.1 | _ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LkkkT | 7196 | 7196 | | | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LmmmT | 3598 | 3598 | | 2.0 | 40 | _ | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | | 0.1 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LnnnC | 3598 | 3598 | _ | 6.4 | 34 | - | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0.4 | _ | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 |
| LpppC | 3598 | 3598 | 8.3 | 1.9 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



ESANIC – Virtual NIC Activity showed:

- The network lock information (wait times) rose from zero
- The rate of discards during the problem period rose from zero

| Monitor | ESANIC initialize | d: 07, | /07/2: | 2 at 0 | 1:00:00 |) on : | 3906 : | serial | 03F0 | CDS | First | t red | cord a | analy | zed: (| 07/07/ | /22 03 | L:00:0 | | |
|----------|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--|--------|--------|--------|------|------|--|-------|---|-------|--------|--------|--|--------|---------------------------------------|------|
| Date/Tim | Virtual | Devc | BASE | | <per< th=""><th>r Sec</th><th>ond-></th><th>waits</th><th>/sec</th><th>wait</th><th><th>ond></th><th><th>cond></th><th><-Rat</th><th>te></th><th><disc< th=""><th>card></th><th><iner< th=""><th>ror></th></iner<></th></disc<></th></th></th></per<> | r Sec | ond-> | waits | /sec | wait | <th>ond></th> <th><th>cond></th><th><-Rat</th><th>te></th><th><disc< th=""><th>card></th><th><iner< th=""><th>ror></th></iner<></th></disc<></th></th> | ond> | <th>cond></th> <th><-Rat</th> <th>te></th> <th><disc< th=""><th>card></th><th><iner< th=""><th>ror></th></iner<></th></disc<></th> | cond> | <-Rat | te> | <disc< th=""><th>card></th><th><iner< th=""><th>ror></th></iner<></th></disc<> | card> | <iner< th=""><th>ror></th></iner<> | ror> |
| Userid | LanName | | | | | | | | | time | rqst (| ifrd | Sent | Rcvd | Sent | Rcvd | Sent | Rcvd | Sent 1 | Rcvs |
| 01:16:00 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LaaaT | VSW3 | 0380 | 0380 | 01/02 | 110.2 | 110 | 51.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 460K | 6288 | 310 | 79.1 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 0 |
| LbbbT | VSW3 | | | 01/02 | | | 41.7 | õ | ō | ō | õ | _ | 741K | | | 128 | ō | 80.2 | õ | ō |
| LCCCC | VSW3 | | | 01/02 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 110 | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | 598 | 14K | | 174 | ŏ | 00.2 | ŏ | ŏ |
| LdddT | VSW3 | | | 01/02 | | | 39.6 | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | | 5760 | | 72.3 | ŏ | 132 | ŏ | ŏ |
| LeeeP | VSW4 | | | 02/02 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 2.7 | ŏ | 0.0 | 0.1 | ŏ | _ | 2026 | 765 | | 5.9 | ŏ | 0.1 | ŏ | ŏ |
| LfffT | VSW4 | | | 02/02 | | 3.3 | 8.0 | ŏ | | 0.1 | ŏ | ŏ | | 1136 | | 19.2 | ŏ | | ŏ | ŏ |
| Ladal | VSW4 | | | 02/02 | | | 5.5 | ŏ | 0.0 | 0.1 | ŏ | | | | 15.6 | | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ |
| LhhhT | VSW4 | | | 02/02 | | 2.8 | 4.1 | ŏ | 0.0 | 0.1 | ŏ | ŏ | 417 | 733 | | 6.8 | ŏ | 0.2 | ŏ | ŏ |
| LbbbT | VSW4 | | | 02/02 | | 1.0 | 2.3 | ŏ | 0.0 | 0.2 | ŏ | ŏ | | 2117 | | 29.0 | ŏ | | ŏ | ŏ |
| LijiT | VSW4 | | | 02/02 | | 1.2 | 1.6 | ŏ | 0.0 | 0.1 | õ | õ | 190 | | | 4.3 | õ | õ | ŏ | ŏ |
| LkkkT | VSW4 | | | 02/02 | | 19.2 | | ŏ | 0.0 | 0.2 | ŏ | | | | 21.2 | | ŏ | 0.0 | ŏ | ŏ |
| LmmmT | VSW4 | | | 02/02 | 8.7 | 8.6 | 3.2 | ŏ | | 0.2 | ŏ | | | | 10.9 | | ŏ | | ŏ | ŏ |
| LnnnT | VSW4 | | | 02/02 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 0.5 | ŏ | ŏ | 0.1 | ŏ | | 2676 | | | 10.6 | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ |
| LpppT | VSW4 | | | 02/02 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 2.8 | ŏ | ŏ | 0.2 | ŏ | | | 963 | 8.6 | 8.8 | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ |
| LqqqC | VSW4 | | | 02/02 | 8.8 | 8.6 | 5.9 | ŏ | ŏ | 0.3 | ŏ | | 1342 | | | | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ |
| LrrrT | VSW4 | | | 02/02 | | 18.7 | 7.9 | ŏ | 0.0 | 0.1 | ŏ | | | | 25.0 | | ŏ | 0.9 | ŏ | ŏ |
| LSSSC | VSW4 | | | 02/02 | 8.2 | | 13.9 | ŏ | 0.0 | 0.0 | ŏ | | 1737 | | | 28.8 | ŏ | 1.0 | ŏ | ŏ |
| LtttT | VSW4 | | | 02/02 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 3.6 | ŏ | 0.0 | 0.2 | ŏ | _ | 3421 | | 6.8 | 5.9 | ŏ | 1.0 | ŏ | ŏ |
| LuuuT | VSW4 | | | 02/02 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.1 | ŏ | 0.0 | 0.1 | ŏ | ŏ | 736 | 639 | 3.9 | 7.2 | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ |
| LVVVP | VSW4 | | | 02/02 | | | 17.7 | | 0.0 | 0.2 | ŏ | | | | 31.5 | | ŏ | 0.3 | ŏ | ŏ |
| LWWWT | VSW4 | | | 02/02 | | | 9.7 | | 0.0 | 0.2 | ŏ | | | | 16.0 | | ŏ | 0.1 | ŏ | ŏ |
| LXXXC | VSW4 | | | 02/02 | | | 5.4 | ŏ | 0.0 | 0.1 | ŏ | | 1214 | 908 | | 7.1 | ŏ | ~ ō | ŏ | ŏ |
| LyyyT | VSW3 | | | 01/02 | | | 53.5 | ŏ | | | ŏ | ŏ | | 6201 | | 75.9 | ŏ | - | ŏ | ŏ |
| LqqqC | VSW3 | | | 01/02 | | | 69.9 | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | | 6291 | | 77.2 | ŏ | | ŏ | ŏ |
| LZZZC | VSW3 | | | 01/02 | 3.8 | | 48.4 | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | 286 | 11K | | | - | 30.9 | ŏ | ŏ |
| 01:17:00 | | | | 01, 01 | | | | | | · · | | | 200 | | | | | | | |
| LaaaT | VSW3 | 0380 | 0380 | 01/02 | 156.8 | 157 | 60.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 873K | 7782 | 588 | 98.1 | 0 | 64.3 | 0 | 0 |
| LbbbT | VSW3 | | | 01/02 | | | 71.9 | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | | | | | 136 | | 75.5 | ŏ | ŏ |
| LCCCC | VSW3 | | | 01/02 | | | 80.1 | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | | ŏ | 405 | 11K | | 130 | 4.5 | /0.0 | ŏ | ŏ |
| LdddT | VSW3 | | | 01/02 | | | 32.5 | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | | | 13.3 | | | - | ŏ | ŏ |
| LhhhT | VSW3 | | | 01/02 | | | 72.7 | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | | 2986 | | 35.6 | | 92.8 | ŏ | ŏ |
| LqqqC | VSW3 | | | 01/02 | 2.7 | | 60.7 | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | | 3102 | | 37.8 | | 87.9 | ŏ | ŏ |





ESAPLDV – Processor Local Dispatch Vector Activity showed:

• The dispatch rate during the problem period was suddenly extremely high

| | | | | /07/2 | 22 at 03 | al Dispatch 1:00:00 on 3 | 906 se | erial | OSFCI | D8 Fi: | rst rec | | | | | | 07/11 | /22 |
|----------|-------|-------|------|------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------|----------------------------|--------|----------|------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Time | <-Use | ers-> | Tran | | <vmdbk< th=""><th>Moves/sec> To Master</th><th><</th><th></th><th>PLDV 1</th><th>Lengths-</th><th>></th><th>Dispatcher Long Paths</th><th><-Fre</th><th></th><th>ting</th><th>Level</th><th>s (/s</th><th>ec)-</th></vmdbk<> | Moves/sec> To Master | < | | PLDV 1 | Lengths- | > | Dispatcher Long Paths | <-Fre | | ting | Level | s (/s | ec)- |
| 01:16:00 | 43 | 41.0 | 0.5 | 0 1 2 3 | 33.6 33.2 39.1 41.1 | 0.3 0 0 | ō | 0.0 0.0 20.3 20.4 | : | 1.8 | - | 16614.2 69624.5 | 33.2 39.1 | | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| System: | | | | | 147.0 | 0.3 | 0 | 40.8 | | | 0 | 116675.8 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 01:17:00 | 37 | 40.0 | 0.6 | 0 1 2 3 | 31.6 31.6 36.0 37.2 | 0.6 0 0 | 0000 | 0.0 0.0 23.4 23.4 | | | 0 | 38082.6 11892.1 24317.3 | 31.6 36.0 37.2 | _ | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| System: | | | | | 136.5 | 0.6 | - | 46.9 | | | 0 | 135780.4 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 01:19:00 | 43 | 43.0 | 0.6 | 0 1 2 3 | 32.5 34.2 34.8 33.7 | 0.9 0 0 0 | 0000 | 0.1 0.1 23.4 23.4 | : | 1.8 | 0 | 53972.1 35320.3 | 34.2 34.8 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| System: | | | | | 135.2 | 0.9 | 0 | 47.0 | | | 0 | 230727.3 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 01:21:00 | 42 | 40.0 | 0.6 | 0 1 2 3 | 30.3 30.2 36.9 38.5 | 0.9 0 0 0 | 0 | 0.1 0.1 23.6 23.6 | : | 1.8 | 0 0 0 0 | 75857.8 50984.8 | 30.2 36.9 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| System: | | | | | 135.9 | 0.9 | 0 | 47.3 | | | 0 | 215688.6 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 01:22:00 | 37 | 40.0 | 0.5 | 0 1 2 3 | 33.3 32.0 39.6 39.7 | 2.6 0 0 0 | 0 | 0.1 0.1 23.9 23.9 | : | | 0 | 1442.6 685.5 | 32.0 39.6 | 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| System: | | | | | 144.6 | 2.6 | 0 | 47.9 | | | 0 | 4493.9 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |





11

ESACPUA – CPU Utilization Analysis (Part 2) showed:

- There were a high amount of spin locks during the time of the issue
- It shows 4 threads (SMT on, 2 vCPUs), 2 100% busy

| Report: Monitor | ESACPU initia | JA alizeo | CPU 1: 07, | J Ut: /07/: | ilizat 22 at | 01:0 | Ana: | lysis) on 3 | 3906 s | serial (| OSFCD | Ve 8 F: | elocit irst 1 | cy Som | ftware d anal | e Corp Lyzed: |
|--------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| Time | <-Usi | cs> | Tran | | Totl | Ovrl | head | Diag | Inst | al (per SIE intrcp | Fast | Page | Rate | Proc | ms/ | rate |
| 01:19:00 | | | | 1 2 3 | | 1.7 1.2 1.4 | 1.6 1.0 1.4 | 53K 35K 44K | 53K 35K 44K | 53716 35157 44524 | 9.01 0.02 0.08 | 232.5 164.1 144.4 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.00 0.18 0.17 | 0.00 0.03 0.03 | 98.4 55.07 65.27 |
| System: | | | | | 353 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 227K | 228K | 229824 | 9.20 | 542.0 | 0.0 | 0.37 | 0.01 | 335.7 |
| 01:21:00 | 42 | 40.0 | 0.6 | 0 1 2 3 | | 2.5 | 2.4 1.8 | 37K 75K 51K 51K | 75K 51K | 75839 | 0.16 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 2852 548.3 198.9 223.3 |
| System: | | | | | 352 | 7.5 | 8.1 | 213K | 214K | 215552 | 0.28 | 17.1 | 0.0 | 1.02 | 0.00 | 3823 |
| 01:22:00 | 37 | 40.0 | 0.5 | 1 | 100 75.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 45.2 149 | 443 303 341 | 1756.0 1626.9 622.8 807.9 | 0.13 0.05 0.10 | 56.5 17.4 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.003 4.702 |
| 01:23:00 | 37 | 42.0 | 0.4 | 0 1 2 3 | 100 76.1 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 36.6 38.2 | 817 389 | 3989.1 3580.2 1536.0 2200.7 | 0.05 | 47.0 45.1 | 1.8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 82.28 55.17 |
| System: | | | | | 352 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 165 | 3049 | 11306 | 0.43 | 219.9 | 3.0 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 281.9 |





ESAUSR3 – User Resource Utilization showed:

- The dispatch rates for TheUsrs group (containing the Linux servers)
- The dispatch rate during the problem period was suddenly extremely high

| Report: Monitor | | | | | | ilizati):00 on | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|--------|---------|--------------|------------|---------------------------|---------|----------|---------|--------|--------|--|-------|---|--------------|
| UserID /Class | | Block | Cache | Disk | Hit | <trans IUCV</trans | fers> | < | -IUCV | > | | <erre< th=""><th>ors-></th><th><rate< th=""><th>e/Sec></th></rate<></th></erre<> | ors-> | <rate< th=""><th>e/Sec></th></rate<> | e/Sec> |
| 07/07/22 01:16:00 TheUsrs | 24742 | 0 | | 100 100 | | 5680 32 | 6 0 | | 19 0 | | 1 | 0 | - | 115K 115K | 115K 115K |
| 01:17:00 TheUsrs | | - | | 1089 1089 | | 3412 152 | - | _ | 19 0 | 0 0 | 1 0 | | - | 134K 134K | |
| 01:18 - 0 | data mi | issing | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 01:19:00 TheUsrs | | 0 0 | | 764 764 | | 6365 87 | 12 0 | | 19 0 | | 1 0 | | _ | 230K 230K | 230K 230K |
| 01:21:00 TheUsrs | | 0 0 | 12 0 | | 2.2 2.1 | 6371 55 | 12 0 | 14 14 | 19 0 | 0 0 | 1 | 0 | - | 216K 215K | |





ESADIAG – User Resource Utilization showed:

- The amount of DIAG 44 instructions
- The rate during the problem was suddenly extremely high

| Report: <mark> </mark> Monitor : | | | Diagn d: 07/07 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | MAP 5.1. 01:00:0 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Date /Time | | | s/Sec> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ate DIAG |
| 07/07/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 01:16:00 | 0 1 2 3 | 0 | 7381 14657 68079 19679 | 000C: 000C: 000C: 000C: | 0.0 | 0024: 0024: 0024: 0024: | 0 | 0044: 0044: 0044: 0044: | 14K 68K | 005C: 005C: 005C: 005C: | 0.0 | 0068: 0068: 0068: 0068: | 0.1 | 009C: 009C: 009C: 009C: | 170 30.5 | 00A4: | 1.3 0 0 0 |
| 01:17:00 | 0 1 2 3 | 0 | 57705 36436 10198 22992 | 0024: 0024: 0024: 0024: | 0 | 0040: 0040: 0040: 0040: | 0 | 0044: 0044: 0044: 0044: | 36K 10K | 0064: 0064: 0064: 0064: | 0 | 0068: 0068: 0068: 0068: | 0.0 | 009C: 009C: | 166 92.8 | 00A4: 00A4: 00A4: 00A4: | 1.1 0.1 0 |
| 01:19:00 | 0 1 2 3 | 0 | 95197 53125 34665 44118 | 0008: 0008: 0008: 0008: | 0 0.0 | 000C: 000C: 000C: 000C: | 0 0.0 | 0024: 0024: 0024: 0024: | 0 | 0044: 0044: 0044: 0044: | 53K 35K | 005C: 005C: 005C: 005C: | 0.0 | 0068: 0068: 0068: 0068: | 0.0 | 009C: 009C: 009C: 009C: | 3.9 10.1 |
| 01:21:00 | 0 1 2 3 | 0 | 36983 74968 50531 50666 | 0008: 0008: 0008: 0008: | 0 0 0 | 000C: 000C: 000C: 000C: | 0.0 | 0024: 0024: 0024: 0024: | 0 0 0 | 0044: 0044: 0044: 0044: | 75K 51K 51K | 005C: 005C: 005C: 005C: | 0 0 0 | 0068: 0068: 0068: 0068: | 0.0 0.0 0 | 009C: 009C: 009C: 009C: | 21.6 5.3 82.2 |
| 01:22:00 | 0 1 2 3 | 0 | 55.3 45.2 149.0 26.9 | 0024: 0024: 0024: 0024: | 0.0 | 0044: 0044: 0044: 0044: | 0.0 | 005C: 005C: 005C: 005C: | 0.6 0 0 | 0068: 0068: 0068: 0068: | 0.0 0.1 0 | 0098: 0098: 0098: 0098: | 0.1 0 0 | | 36.3 29.4 141 | 00A4: 00A4: 00A4: | 0.8 0 0 0 |



Performance Enhancement Suggestions:

- 1 Add another engine
- The affected LPAR had only two IFL's running
 - Each IFL was running 95-100%
 - This caused the top Linux servers to wait on CPU





Performance Enhancement Suggestions:

- 2 Change the engine count for the Linux servers
- Each of the Linux servers has two vCPUs
 - Only one vCPU is needed per server
 - Having two vCPUs per server caused unnecessary cache contention
 - Possibly update the SHARE for each server, if needed





Performance Enhancement Suggestions:

- 3 Upgrade Linux servers to change DIAG 44 to DIAG 9C
- The Linux server group was doing a large amount of DIAG 44 instructions for locking (Shown on ESADIAG and ESAPLDV)
 - Older Linux systems use DIAG 44 those systems need to be upgraded to take advantage of DIAG 9C
 - DIAG 9C is a much more efficient and safe way to do locking





<u>What the customer reported</u>:

- Several of the Linux servers that were doing DIAG 44 instructions were decommissioned
- A third IFL was added to the LPAR
- No more issues were reported

